

Jack Venrick

From: "Jack Venrick" <jacksranch@skynetbb.com>
To: "AJack R. Venrick" <jacksranch@skynetbb.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 27, 2008 8:43 PM
Attach: Ethanol policy threatens to starve the world.eml; Re_ Coal-fired Power Stations etc.eml; Ethanol policy - what a turkey.eml; Unexpected Downside of Wind Power.eml; Ethanol is no solution for our energy woes.eml; Kissy Kat and The Magic Diesel.eml; Energy Efficiency Articles.eml; Fwd_ [WETHEPEOPLE_UNITED] Senate Hits Impasse On Renewable Energy.eml; Worth reading.....eml; China Smart America Dumb .eml; U.S. Power Grid.eml; Want Lower Energy Prices_ You Can Help!.eml; Senators Murray and Cantwell Vote No to America Oil and Yes to More Arab Oilr.eml; Ethanol - Another Green Dream or Why not sign up Today.eml; [proprights] ENERGY - The Way Forward.eml; [Capr-discussion] RFK Jr. Nixes Wind Farm Near Kennedy Compound.eml; Ethanol is no solution for our energy woes.eml
Subject: Alternative Energy is an Green Con Game

----- Original Message -----

From: [Jack Venrick](#)
To: [George Noory CoastToCoast](#) ; [Art Bell CoastToCoast](#)
Sent: Sunday, May 25, 2008 9:52 PM
Subject: Alternative Energy is an Green Con Game

Dear Art, George and Ian:

George I heard you say in an interview the other night with a fellow advocating biofuels that we had no choice but to pursue alternative energy.

I am a retired Boeing electrical engineer and am also a rural land owner living at the foot of of Mt. Rainier here in the socialist State of Washington, originally from Montana.

Rural private property owners are starting to organize and fight back finally after 50 years years of growing green takings of our land and unalienable rights.

I will attach some articles I have been collecting over the years during this battle. I have sent out over 700 emails on the subject of our collapsing constitutional and unalienable rights. What does this have to do with alternative energy, you ask?

There is no such thing as "alternative energy". It is right up there with "man caused global global warming", the UN Agenda 21, "sustaining development, "smart growth", taxes, ecetera, ecetera. These are junk science programs that prey on private property, including our wages, our land, our water and our unalienable rights. These are green government takings using deception that are unconstitutional and highly fraudulent.

Windmills, solar cells, biofuels, fuel cells, you name the "energy contrivance" could never exist in the free market because of the following reasons":

- 1. This is junk energy that requires government subsidization, i.e.**

- they are not economically viable to attract independent investors
 - they can only exist off the blood money of taxpayers
 - they can only exist because green extreme groups tie these into land taking deals
 - they only exist because the green contractors who make and install them are the only ones making any "profit"
2. This form of energy could never carry the commercial loads required by an industrial industrial economy
 3. This form of energy is created by the green triangle, i.e. the government, the eastern eco green terrorists and the profiting green contractor
 - <http://www.sovereignty.net/p/ngo/ron.html>
 - Here is the magnitude of wealth behind this movement
<http://www.sovereignty.net/p/ngo/ngochart.html>
 4. There is not enough land to stand all the windmills and solar cells to do the job
 5. The wind and sun are not steady enough nor can this energy be stored economically
 6. Said another way, all these forms of energy are man made and are not economical in any sense.
 7. The only form of economical energy is fossil fuel and nuclear.
 8. The Coal is Filthy Ad-Scam - <http://www.eco-imperialism.com/content/article.php3?id=214>
 9. **Brownouts and Blackouts: Power Crisis in California** - <http://www.americanpolicy.org/more/brownouts.htm>
 10. Fossil fuel sources are relatively free, i.e. nature makes it available
 11. Nuclear fuel is extremely efficient and abundant that can easily supply commercial loads, e.g generate heat to turn water to steam to drive generators. I believe France is is mostly nuclear.
 12. Biofuels will not work, they steal from the food chain and transfer energy demands and costs into the food supply
 13. Other non food biofuels require ag fertilizers and fossils fuels to grow and harvest and and refine them.
 14. We are forced to wait for a energy break through that is independent of all government government and the green triangle of political junk power.

Tom DeWeese would also be a great guest for you to have on some night that could address this taking and many others connected to private property.
Here is his site www.americanpolicy.org .

John (Jack) R. Venrick
Retired - 30 Years

Power Systems
Manufacturing Systems
Engineering Systems
Business Systems
The Boeing Company
B.S. Electrical Engineering - Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana
M.S. Applied Science - Montana State University
Major Industrial Engineering
Minor in Business Administration



P.S.

Green journalism, green government and green business that feed off of the green government pig trough like to push green energy because they get green money for it. But But that green money does not come from profit generated from free enterprise and market market competition.

Green energy money comes from green government pig trough in the form of a subsidy to to green industry who like to pretend they are doing a green good while taking our green money.

The green people love this because most people then think green energy can replace fossil fuel and nuclear energy. They love to extrapolate a little green energy into green power for

for the entire nation. Not unlike they do distorting normal slight variations in species and climate temperature into shutting down the entire lumber and energy industry in the U.S.

Green energy would not exist if environmental extremist did not mainline their drugs of choice and green government did not pimp out our green tax dollars paid by our property our property taxes on our green farms and lawns. Green energy is a lime green lollipop for for the environmental extremists, jobs for green contractors, careers for green government government.

Here is an excerpt from an article linked below on the Wild Horse Project by PSE.

But none of those projects is likely to come to fruition unless Congress restores a federal income tax credit for wind production that lapsed last year. "It needs to be renewed in order for the project to work," Ringel said.

Protests may loom

Ringel claimed the Wild Horse project has community support. But the same can't be said of a second proposal by Zilkha. The proposed Kittitas Valley project, between Cle Elum and Ellensburg, has drawn significant opposition from a group called Residents Opposed to Kittitas Turbines and other parties.

NPCC's King noted that the Kittitas Valley project is planned for a highly visible area, across from a big vacation-home development. "I can't think of a location better designed to incite controversy," he said.

It remains to be seen whether Wild Horse, a project in the same county by the same developer, will encounter permitting problems.

"There's a heightened sensitivity in Kittitas County that probably wouldn't have been there" if the Kittitas Valley project weren't so controversial, King said.

<http://www.bizjournals.com/seattle/stories/2004/09/27/newscolumn1.html>

Jack Venrick
Enumclaw, Washington

-- Original Message -----

From: [Jack Venrick](#)

Sent: Saturday, February 10, 2007 4:00 PM

Subject: Whoops - The Ethanol Hangover

To: Rural Energy Producers:

Good Forbes article on the so called "alternative" energy ethanol below my rant.

"Alternative" energy is another urban euphemism created by the same urban minds that came up with "global warming", "sustaining development", "smart growth", positivism, "critical areas, "wet lands", "endangered", ad nauseam.

The urban mind takes a normal social or natural phenomena and labels it just the opposite. Then they "study" it by cherry picking who studies it and what data will give them the results they want, vis-a-vis "junk science". Educated people buy into this. Then the groups who live off these myths start beating the drums and repeating the mantras of the myths until half the population hears it enough times it becomes the truth. The green triangle generate billions from this aberration.

There is no alternative energy. NONE! Fossil fuel and nuclear energy are the only cost effective choices. Don't let anyone tell you that alternative energy will be cost effective when the price of fossil fuel becomes over priced. That is the green dream just like everyone of the above environmental euphemisms. There is enough fossil fuel in the ground to power the world for centuries.

Do not let the anti- fossil fuel crowd tell you that coal and oil is environmentally unfriendly. This is nonsense. They live in the dark ages and ignore and refuse to accept that the technology in mining and refining of oil and coal has not evolved to surgical precision over the last 50 years. You may as well say that surgeons use hack saws and butcher knives to operate with! Mining, oil drilling and delivery has come a long way and evolves with the free market. It is big government and big green extreme groups who pollute us by obstruction of fear based extreme choking regulations. Government and environmental extremism invents nothing and produces nothing, they only destroy freedom.

The facts are every product in our homes, automobiles, doctors and dentists offices, factories, buildings, highways, airplanes, trains, animals, birds, humans, etc. pollute to some degree. Even so called "wetlands" and cows and agricultural crops give off methane competing to CO2 or more. There is enough concern about this, Goddard is studying it.

<http://icp.giss.nasa.gov/research/plans/1999/methane.html>. But Goddard is NASA which is government so discount appropriately. The waste cycle is natural and man's genius to create has no limit except from big government and green extreme mafia. Nothing is produced in the universe without some form of waste. Waste is the sign of matter being created.

What we have is a political fashionable tool that is being used to deceive the masses to socially engineer their lives. Few people understand that so called alternative energy is not an "alternative" and it is not energy in the sense of economical commercial loads that is of any practical use. Another example is a 400 watt wind generator cost \$700 + Tax + Shipping in my Northern Tool Catalog. Tower sold separately, batteries required. This will not even power my coffee pot or toaster.

"Alternative" energy it is only an alternative to the government who has to subsidize it in a big way to passify the green extreme because environmental collectivism cannot compete in the free market.

Jack Venrick
Not Buying Into Any Green Government "Alternatives"

Enumclaw, WA

WHOOOPS - Forbes Article

<http://members.forbes.com/global/2007/0212/043.html>

U.S. politicians and Wall Street cheered when the ethanol industry went on a building binge. Now the distillers are waking up to the ugly possibility of a gasohol glut.

Ethanol was making investors giddy last year when oil prices were high, corn prices were low and ethanol producers like **Archer Daniels Midland** (nyse: [ADM - news - people](#)) and small U.S. farmer cooperatives were reaping huge profits distilling corn mash into fuel.

It created a corn rush. Money from Wall Street to Australia started pouring into ethanol distillery construction all over the U.S. heartland. Seventy-five distilleries are under construction, on top of the 111 now operating. "Everybody thought they could become the new Saudi oil barons of Champaign, Illinois," says Daniel Basse, president of AgResource, a Chicago agricultural research and forecasting outfit.

Instead ethanol makers may be headed for the soup kitchen. Amid the frenzy, corn prices spiked, ethanol prices collapsed and yet more ethanol supply is coming online. Basse predicts the ethanol makers that were coining money last year are on track to start losing money by the end of this year.

Unless, of course, the industry gets yet another boost from the U.S. government. Ethanol has achieved hallowed status in the battle to reduce the U.S.' dependence on imported oil. The U.S. government already supports ethanol with a tax subsidy equivalent to 51 cents per gallon of ethanol. That comes to \$3 billion a year. Also helping the business are environmental mandates (depending on where it is sold, some gasoline must contain up to 10% ethanol as an antismog measure) and energy independence mandates.

"All the buzz in Washington surrounding ethanol indicates that it's going to survive," says David Lehman, managing director of the Chicago Board of Trade's commodities group.

Ethanol makers need the help. Corn prices, 75% of the cost of ethanol production, have doubled in the past six months, to more than \$4 a bushel. At the same time, the price of ethanol has followed the price of gasoline downward.

Absent a rescue from Capitol Hill, the glut is going to get worse. AgResource's Basse estimates the blending demand for ethanol at 10 billion gallons, 7% of the 150 billion gallons of blended fuel burned each year. Current nationwide ethanol capacity is 5.4 billion gallons. But 6.1 billion gallons' worth of capacity is now under construction, according to the Renewable Fuels Association. That would push supply right past demand and destroy ethanol prices. Unless mandates are tightened. At the moment the

motor fuel industry is meeting environmental minimums and exceeding the energy independence ones.

Under present law the independence minimum comes to 4.7 billion gallons of ethanol this year and 7.5 billion in 2012. But now the Bush Administration is considering boosting this mandate to 60 billion gallons by 2030. That target is also mentioned in a pair of Senate bills penned by presidential hopefuls Joseph Biden (Democrat--Delaware) and Barack Obama (Democrat--Illinois). They would as well provide tax credits for high-ethanol fuel pumps, refineries and other biofuels production.

There are lots of ifs here, but investors in ethanol producers were heartened at first. Shares of companies like **VeraSun Energy** (nyse: [VSE](#) - [news](#) - [people](#)), Pacific Ethanol and ADM, which had been beaten down by as much as 60%, quickly began recovering when news of the higher mandates broke. You might even see the revival of public offerings for Hawkeye Renewables of Iowa Falls and Global Ethanol of Brisbane, Australia, both of which were shelved late last year.

Other potential winners include agricultural equipment makers like John Deere, which would help farmers plant a lot more corn; **Monsanto** (nyse: [MON](#) - [news](#) - [people](#)), which is developing corn that can flourish in dry climates like western Colorado; and railroads such as **Burlington Northern Santa Fe** (nyse: [BNI](#) - [news](#) - [people](#)), which haul ethanol from the U.S. Midwest to the coasts.

Losers: companies that raise livestock that eat corn, people who buy meat, taxpayers and drivers. Net of the tax subsidy, the price of ethanol is \$2.04 a gallon, which is 70 cents more than the \$1.34 wholesale price of gasoline. And the energy content of ethanol is only two-thirds that of gasoline.

Environmentalists are queasy about ethanol. Made from corn, it takes so much water, energy and land to produce that its environmental benefits are dubious. Corn ethanol also has no chance of curing the nation's addiction to foreign oil. (Ethanol from cellulose would be more of a winner, but the technology does not yet exist.) Neither of these inconvenient truths will necessarily prevent U.S. politicians from bailing out the distillers.
